

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. 10-3374 SC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
	)	<u>MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</u>
v.	)	
	)	
APPROXIMATELY \$693,522.14 IN	)	
FUNDS FROM ACCOUNT ENDING IN	)	
8892 HELD IN THE NAME OF	)	
EMIRATES NBD AT JPMORGAN CHASE	)	
BANK,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
FIRST REPUBLIC BANK, and	)	
EMIRATES NBD BANK,	)	
	)	
Claimants.	)	
	)	

Plaintiff United States of America ("Plaintiff") commenced this in rem action, seeking forfeiture under 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and (k) of \$693,522.14 held in the name of Emirates NBD at JPMorgan Chase Bank. ECF No. 1 ("Compl."). Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 29 ("Mot."), and Claimants First Republic Bank ("First Republic") and Emirates NBD Bank ("Emirates Bank") (collectively, "Claimants") filed Statements of Non-Opposition. ECF Nos. 35, 36. On March 15, 2011, the Court deferred ruling on the Motion out of concern that Claimants' non-

1 opposition was predicated on the Court issuing a detailed order,  
2 and the Court gave Claimants ten days to register their unqualified  
3 non-opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF No.  
4 38. First Republic and Emirates Bank have since filed unambiguous  
5 Statements of Non-Opposition. ECF Nos. 39, 40.

6 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff United States' Motion.  
7 The Court finds, as a matter of law, that Plaintiff is entitled to  
8 summary judgment forfeiting defendant \$693,522.14 to the United  
9 States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) and (k). The Court  
10 will enter a separate judgment.

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14 Dated: April 13, 2011



15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28